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## Accountability Statement

Peace River School Division \#10 subscribes to a combined Annual Education Results Report (AERR) and the Three Year Education Plan (3YEP). This report is a summary of Peace Regional Outreach Campus' achievements for the 2011-12 school year and a Three Year Plan for 2012-2015. The school has used the results reported in the document to the best of its abilities, to develop the Education Plan and is committed to implementing the strategies contained within it to improve student learning and results.

## Foundation Statements

## VISION

- To provide an alternative educational program to meet the needs of all students
- To connect "at risk" students to a learning environment
- To provide additional support services to minimize the barriers to education


## MISSION

- To provide an alternative learning environment for students for who the mainstream school setting has not been effective.
- To assist youth in achieving positive personal growth.
- To enable youth at risk of leaving school early to remain connected with a learning/educational environment.
- To facilitate re-entry into mainstream schooling for those young people you desire it.
- To provide youths with skills related to career exploration, communication, community awareness, and self-awareness to promote increased self-esteem and employability.


## BELIEFS

Peace Regional Outreach Campus believes that:

- All Alberta youth need an education and an Alberta High School Diploma
- All youth can learn and experience success
- All youth have the right to an education however each youth accepts the ultimate responsibility for utilizing their educational opportunities
- Education is the key to becoming a productive and responsible citizen
- Actively learning youth benefits the community as a whole
- Youth who aspire to continue and /or complete their high school education must be provided with the support to do so.


## The Student Centered School



## School Profile

## Peace Regional Outreach Campus

A Holistic Learning Environment

The Peace Regional Outreach Campus serves the student who prefers a non-traditional environment. As of September 30, we had 80 students enrolled. However, that number will steadily increase throughout the years as our transient population moves or chooses to address their education plans. Our students are often living independently, may be actively parenting and are likely struggling with a variety of barrier including addictions, mental health issues, anti-social behaviours and their consequences as well as personal and/or family crisis.


To best serve our students, we provide a holistic wrap-around service. Our goal is to remove the educational barriers so that our students can successfully complete high school courses and eventually graduate. With the ultimate goal of academic success in mind we provide, in addition to our excellent educational staff, the following non-educational services: on-site social worker counselors to listen, advocate and guide students, an aboriginal elder to connect all interested students with aboriginal teachings, a licensed daycare to provide quality childcare while the parents work on their studies, a career counselor to connect the students with post-secondary institutions, and a bus to transport students. The bus also is equipped to transport Outreach student's children to our daycare. We also provide nutritious food in the form of a daily hot breakfast, a weekly hot lunch and a well-stocked pantry and refrigerator for snacks and lunches throughout the week. The Daycare provides nutritious snacks and lunch daily.

Each student in our program is a success since the vast majority of our population was destined to become academic dropouts. Every graduate is a battle won!

Our three year educational plan reflects the needs of our specific population as we look to a future of more and more of our students reaching the ultimate goal of an Alberta High School Diploma. Our vision is to catch the students "falling through the cracks", dust them off by assisting them to address their personal barriers to success, and set them on the road to success as healthy resilient citizens!

| Measure Category | Measure Category Evaluation | Measure | Peace Regional Outreach Campus |  |  | Alberta |  |  | Measure Evaluation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Current Result | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Prev } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \text { Result } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Prev 3 } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Average } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Current Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prev } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Result } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Prev 3 } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Average } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Achievement | Improvement | Overall |
| Safe and Caring Schools | Excellent | Safe and Caring | 97.3 | 98.6 | 96.8 | 88.6 | 88.1 | 87.5 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent |
| Student Learning Opportunities | Issue | Program of Studies | 69.7 | 64.1 | 65.1 | 80.7 | 80.9 | 80.6 | Low | Maintained | Issue |
|  |  | Education Quality | 95.0 | 91.6 | 93.5 | 89.4 | 89.4 | 89.3 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent |
|  |  | Drop Out Rate | 32.4 | 10.8 | 19.5 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | Very Low | Declined | Concern |
|  |  | High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | 7.3 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 74.1 | 72.6 | 71.6 | Very Low | Maintained | Concern |
| Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9) | n/a | PAT: Acceptable | n/a | n/a | n/a | 79.1 | 79.3 | 78.9 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | PAT: Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | 20.9 | 19.6 | 19.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Student Learning <br> Achievement (Grades 10-12) | Concern | Diploma: Acceptable | 83.3 | 63.2 | 66.7 | 83.5 | 82.6 | 83.5 | Low | Maintained | Issue |
|  |  | Diploma: Excellence | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 18.7 | Very Low | Maintained | Concern |
|  |  | Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.2 | 54.9 | 53.9 | Very Low | Maintained | Concern |
|  |  | Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate (Revised) | 42.1 | 41.7 | 24.7 | 61.5 | 59.6 | 58.0 | Very Low | Improved | Issue |
| Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship | n/a | Transition Rate (6 yr) | * | * | n/a | 58.4 | 59.3 | 59.5 | * | * | * |
|  |  | Work Preparation | n/a | n/a | n/a | 79.7 | 80.1 | 79.9 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Citizenship | 89.3 | 84.3 | 84.9 | 82.5 | 81.9 | 81.2 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent |
| Parental Involvement | n/a | Parental Involvement | n/a | n/a | n/a | 79.7 | 79.9 | 80.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Continuous Improvement | Excellent | School Improvement | 98.3 | 98.2 | 96.8 | 80.0 | 80.1 | 79.8 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent |

Notes:

1. PAT results are a weighted average of the percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Provincial Achievement Tests. The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 3, 6, 9), Science (Grades 6, 9), French Language Arts (Grades 6, 9), Français (Grades 6, 9).
2. Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Français 30-1, Pure Mathematics 30 , Applied Mathematics 30 , Biology 30, Science 30.
3. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
4. The subsequent pages include evaluations for each performance measure. If jurisdictions desire not to present this information for each performance measure in the subsequent pages, please include a reference to this overall summary page for each performance measure.
5. Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

## Measure Evaluation Reference

Achievement Evaluation
Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5 th, 25 th, 75 th and 95 th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.
The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe and Caring | $0.00-77.62$ | $77.62-81.05$ | $81.05-84.50$ | $84.50-88.03$ | $88.03-100.00$ |
| Program of Studies | $0.00-66.31$ | $66.31-72.65$ | $72.65-78.43$ | $78.43-81.59$ | $81.59-100.00$ |
| Education Quality | $0.00-80.94$ | $80.94-84.23$ | $84.23-87.23$ | $87.23-89.60$ | $89.60-100.00$ |
| Drop Out Rate | $100.00-9.40$ | $9.40-6.90$ | $6.90-4.27$ | $4.27-2.79$ | $2.79-0.00$ |
| High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | $0.00-57.03$ | $57.03-62.36$ | $62.36-73.88$ | $73.88-81.79$ | $81.79-100.00$ |
| PAT: Acceptable | $0.00-65.53$ | $65.53-78.44$ | $78.44-85.13$ | $85.13-89.49$ | $89.49-100.00$ |
| PAT: Excellence | $0.00-9.19$ | $9.19-11.96$ | $11.96-17.99$ | $17.99-22.45$ | $22.45-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Acceptable | $0.00-74.42$ | $74.42-83.58$ | $83.58-88.03$ | $88.03-92.35$ | $92.35-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Excellence | $0.00-10.31$ | $10.31-15.67$ | $15.67-20.27$ | $20.27-23.77$ | $23.77-100.00$ |
| Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | $0.00-31.10$ | $31.10-44.11$ | $44.11-55.78$ | $55.78-65.99$ | $65.99-100.00$ |
| Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate (Revised) | $0.00-43.18$ | $43.18-49.83$ | $49.83-59.41$ | $59.41-70.55$ | $70.55-100.00$ |
| Transition Rate (6 yr) | $0.00-39.80$ | $39.80-46.94$ | $46.94-56.15$ | $56.15-68.34$ | $68.34-100.00$ |
| Work Preparation | $0.00-66.92$ | $66.92-72.78$ | $72.78-77.78$ | $77.78-86.13$ | $86.13-100.00$ |
| Citizenship | $0.00-66.30$ | $66.30-71.63$ | $71.63-77.50$ | $77.50-81.08$ | $81.08-100.00$ |
| Parental Involvement | $0.00-70.76$ | $70.76-74.58$ | $74.58-78.50$ | $78.50-82.30$ | $82.30-100.00$ |
| School Improvement | $0.00-65.25$ | $65.25-70.85$ | $70.85-76.28$ | $76.28-80.41$ | $80.41-100.00$ |

Notes:

1) For all measures except Drop Out Rate: The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than or equal to the lower value to $100 \%$.
2) Drop Out Rate measure: As "Drop Out Rate" is inverse to most measures (i.e. lower values are "better"), the range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than the lower value and less than or equal to the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from $0 \%$ to less than or equal to the higher value.

## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.
The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Declined Significantly | $3.84+$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | $1.00-3.83$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | $1.00-3.83$ (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | $3.84+$ (current > previous 3-year average) |

## Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## Category Evaluation

The category evaluation is an average of the Overall Evaluation of the measures that make up the category. For the purpose of the calculation, consider an Overall Evaluation of Excellent to be 2, Good to be 1, Acceptable to be 0, Issue to be -1, and Concern to be -2. The simple average (mean) of these values rounded to the nearest integer produces the Category Evaluation value. This is converted back to a colour using the same scale above (e.g. 2=Excellent, $1=$ Good, $0=$ Intermediate, $-1=$ Issue, $-2=$ Concern)

## Goal One: Success for Every Student

## Outcome: Students achieve student learning outcomes.

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Overall percentage of students who achieved the acceptable standard on diploma examinations (overall results). | 60.0 | 69.2 | 67.9 | 63.2 | 83.3 | 65 | Low | Maintained | Issue | 67 | 69 | 71 |
| Overall percentage of students who achieved the standard of excellence on diploma examinations (overall results). | 0.0 | 15.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5 | Very Low | Maintained | Concern | 5 | 5 | 5 |


| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| High School Completion Rate Percentage of students who completed high school within three years of entering Grade 10. | n/a | * | 11.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 |  | Very Low | Maintained | Concern |  |  |  |
| Drop Out Rate - annual dropout rate of students aged 14 to 18 | n/a | n/a | 28.1 | 10.8 | 32.4 |  | Very Low | Declined | Concern |  |  |  |
| High school to post-secondary transition rate of students within six years of entering Grade 10. | n/a | n/a | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Grade 12 students eligible for a Rutherford Scholarship. | n/a | 8.3 | 24.0 | 41.7 | 42.1 |  | Very Low | Improved | Issue |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students writing four or more Diploma Exams within three years of entering Grade 10. | n/a | * | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | Very Low | Maintained | Concern |  |  |  |

## Comment on Results

The Peace Regional Outreach Campus is a unique school whose primary purpose is to provide a setting for students who have left school or who are in imminent danger of doing so. This means that few of our students will complete school in three years and most of them were going to be drop outs. Therefore all students who remain with us are "victories" for our school. Many of our graduates do to on to post-secondary training. However, very few do so within 6 years of entering grade 10. In 2011-12, $93 \%$ of our possible grade 12 graduates plan to attend post-secondary institutions. We are proud to note that over $42 \%$ of our students were eligible for a Rutherford Scholarship.

## Strategies

The Peace Regional Outreach Campus addresses each student's educational barriers by providing:

- Personal counselling to identify barriers
- Differentiated instruction tailored to the student's individual learning needs
- Affordable childcare in our licensed daycare
- Career counselling
- Access to an aboriginal elder
- Nutritious food
- Role models in our former students
- Practice in daily, weekly, monthly goal setting and commitment to the individual goals

Notes:

1. Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Français 30-1, Pure Mathematics 30, Applied Mathematics 30, Biology 30, Science 30. The percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.
2. Diploma Examination Participation, High School Completion and High school to Post-secondary Transition rates are based upon a cohort of grade 10 students who are tracked over time.
3. Please note that the rules for Rutherford Scholarships changed in 2008, which increased the number of students eligible for Rutherford Scholarships. The history for the measure has been re-computed to allow for trends to be identified.
4. Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

## Outcome: Students develop competencies for success as engaged thinkers and ethical

 citizens with an entrepreneurial spirit.| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship. | 55.6 | n/a | 85.5 | 84.3 | 89.3 | 85 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent | 85 | 85 | 87 |
| Percentage of teachers and parents who agree that students are taught attitudes and behaviours that will make them successful at work when they finish school. | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |

## Comment on Results

The Peace Regional Outreach Campus is proud of our students' willingness to give back to their community. Ample opportunities are offered in the area of community service and our students accept the challenge!

## Strategies

- Opportunities for community service will be provided for our students.
- Discussions addressing essential workplace behaviours will continue
- Staff will model the characteristics of active citizenship
- Staff will model positive attitudes and behaviours at work
- Students will be exposed to a variety of workplaces as part of their career explorations including presentations
- Community members will discuss appropriate workplace behaviours and attitudes with the youth
- Career Counsellor and other staff will continue to encourage students to participate in OffCampus courses including Summer Work Experience.

Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).


Students diligently working on their modules.

## Goal Two: High Quality Education through Collaboration and Innovation

Outcome: Effective learning and teaching within caring, respectful, safe and healthy environments.

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2012 \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education. | 68.1 | n/a | 66.0 | 64.1 | 69.7 | 65 | Low | Maintained | Issue | 67 | 67 | 70 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the overall quality of basic education. | 88.9 | n/a | 95.5 | 91.6 | 95.0 | 90 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent | 90 | 90 | 95 |
| Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school. | 84.4 | n/a | 95.0 | 98.6 | 97.3 | 95 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent | 95 | 95 | 95 |

## Comment on Results

## Fine Arts

- Art is offered through mentorship with our talented Aboriginal Liaison
- Music and Drama are not offered as we are limited by our staff expertise


## Career Counseling

- Career counseling and post-secondary programming information is offered by our part-time counselor Technology
- Students will be using laptops as much as they can in their course work and the use of technology will follow proper digital citizenship guidelines


## Health and Physical Education

- Students are offered a wide variety of activities using community facilities Quality of Basic Education
- Student and Staff indicated a very high satisfaction 95\%
- Students are consistently choosing academic courses in English, Social and Science.


## Safe and Caring

- The nearly $97 \%$ approval by our students is testament to our successful environment
- Our caring holistic learning environment conveys the importance of caring for both oneself and others.


## Strategies

Peace Regional Outreach Campus embraces opportunities to offer a variety of courses. During the 201213 school year, we will be continue to adjust our teaching and tutoring strategies for individual students.

Staff will be presenting general interest information sessions on a weekly basis to expand our students basic knowledge and interest of people that have influenced our world in a variety of areas. Eg. Michelangelo, Florence Nightingale, Steve Jobs, etc.

Demonstrating and teaching caring and respect will continue to be an important focus.

[^0]Outcome: The education system demonstrates leadership and collaboration.

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2012 \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Percentage of teachers and parents satisfied with parental involvement in decisions about their child's education. | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years. | 88.9 | n/a | 95.5 | 98.2 | 98.3 |  | Very High | Maintained | Excellent | 98 | 98 | 98 |

## Comment on Results

Our school is continuously striving to improve our instructional and counseling skills.

## Strategies

Each year we focus on ways to improve our school. This year we are focussed on integrating simulations and multimedia projects into core subjects.

Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

Our Grads of 2012!


## Goal Three: Success for First Nations, Métis and Inuit (FNMI) Students

Outcome: FNMI students are engaged in learning.

## Comment on Results

FNMI students are successful in our program! Approximately, 60\% of our students declare themselves as FNMI.

- In 2012, 4 of our graduates identified as FNMI. 6 of our aboriginal students participated in the Eagle Feather ceremony at the annual Powwow.
- Students attended career presentations from Careers Next Generation, Grande Prairie Regional College, Employment and Immigration Centre, Northern Alberta Development Council, Advanced Education and Technology Learning.
- A sweat lodge experience was organized for interested students.
- Our Aboriginal Studies course continues to be popular with our students
- One of our FNMI students used a unique opportunity to attend summer art courses at Red Deer College courtesy of a local artist's donation.
- Aboriginal students averaged 11.3 credits as compared to the total population's average of 11.8 credits.


## Strategies

Successful strategies include:

- Embedding aboriginal activities, ceremonies and worldview into the school's daily life
- Morning smudge for a 'checking in' and 'focus' opportunity
- Talking circles to discuss school policies with students and staff
- Aboriginal opportunities such as sweat lodge participation, Aboriginal Studies classes, and Eagle Feather ceremonies.
- Individually tailored programming to ensure student success
- Career Counsellor helps students access post-secondary program information
- Ongoing access to a recognized elder
- During the graduation ceremony the elder is often requested to dance for the graduates
- Success is celebrated and validated at our Annual Awards and Barbeque.
- Students attended a pipe ceremony

Diploma Examination Results - Measure Details
Diploma Exam Course by Course Results by Students Writing.

|  |  | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \hline 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | E | A | E | A | E | A | E | A | E | A | E |
| English Lang Arts 30-1 | School | 50.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | 76.2 | 10.4 | 84.1 | 4.8 | 82.4 | 6.1 | 84.9 | 5.3 | 81.3 | 7.9 |  |  |
|  | Province | 87.1 | 15.5 | 86.1 | 12.3 | 85.1 | 10.1 | 84.4 | 10.1 | 86.0 | 11.3 |  |  |
| English Lang Arts 30-2 | School | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 9.1 | * | * | * | * | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | 95.3 | 7.8 | 86.6 | 3.7 | 91.7 | 4.8 | 92.3 | 3.1 | 89.3 | 6.7 |  |  |
|  | Province | 88.9 | 8.8 | 88.2 | 8.5 | 88.8 | 9.8 | 88.6 | 9.1 | 89.5 | 10.7 |  |  |
| Pure Mathematics 30 | School | * | * | n/a | n/a | * | * | * | * | * | * | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | 68.0 | 8.6 | 46.5 | 7.9 | 62.6 | 17.2 | 69.3 | 12.5 | 67.1 | 9.4 |  |  |
|  | Province | 81.3 | 25.8 | 82.1 | 26.3 | 82.9 | 29.7 | 81.0 | 28.7 | 81.8 | 27.1 |  |  |
| Applied Mathematics 30 | School | n/a | n/a | * | * | * | * | * | * | n/a | n/a | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | 76.5 | 7.8 | 72.1 | 7.0 | 60.5 | 5.3 | 53.5 | 4.7 | 44.7 | 5.3 |  |  |
|  | Province | 76.4 | 10.7 | 79.4 | 13.5 | 77.3 | 12.6 | 74.3 | 9.8 | 75.6 | 10.3 |  |  |
| Social Studies 30-1 | School | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | 62.5 | 0.0 | * | * | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 71.4 | 7.1 | 76.6 | 6.5 | 68.0 | 6.2 |  |  |
|  | Province | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 84.5 | 16.1 | 82.8 | 14.9 | 86.2 | 16.7 |  |  |
| Social Studies 30-2 | School | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | * | * | * | * | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 84.1 | 13.4 | 85.0 | 8.8 | 86.3 | 6.8 |  |  |
|  | Province | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 85.0 | 13.7 | 85.6 | 15.9 | 83.1 | 13.7 |  |  |
| Biology 30 | School | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | 71.2 | 18.4 | 73.7 | 14.7 | 75.9 | 26.9 | 70.3 | 21.1 | 56.0 | 10.4 |  |  |
|  | Province | 82.3 | 26.3 | 83.0 | 26.6 | 81.4 | 28.1 | 81.9 | 29.8 | 81.8 | 28.1 |  |  |
| Chemistry 30 | School | n/a | n/a | * | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 65 | 3 |
|  | Authority | n/a | n/a | 59.8 | 13.4 | 61.0 | 18.2 | 63.3 | 17.4 | 59.1 | 13.6 |  |  |
|  | Province | n/a | n/a | 76.3 | 27.7 | 79.0 | 29.9 | 75.1 | 27.7 | 76.7 | 28.4 |  |  |
| Physics 30 | School | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
|  | Authority | n/a | n/a | 62.1 | 17.2 | 63.8 | 14.9 | 76.2 | 12.7 | 72.2 | 11.1 |  |  |
|  | Province | n/a | n/a | 79.3 | 23.1 | 73.9 | 20.3 | 76.7 | 27.7 | 81.0 | 30.3 |  |  |
| Science 30 | School | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
|  | Authority | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
|  | Province | 88.6 | 21.6 | 86.0 | 20.9 | 80.1 | 22.8 | 80.4 | 21.0 | 79.8 | 22.0 |  |  |

Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
"A" = Acceptable; "E" = Excellence — the percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.


Students participate in monthly science experiments. Here they are dissecting a cow's eyeball!


The Peace Regional Outreach Student often struggles with success on Diploma Exams. This difficulty is associated with exam anxiety, competing priorities such as parenting or holding a full time job and a variety of education gaps in their schooling. During the past years, our students' main counseling concerns centered on basic needs and health issues. We are celebrating the over $80 \%$ of students scoring an "acceptable" standard on the diplomas in 2012. We will encourage students to participate in Diploma prep reviews offered at Outreach and within our school division.

Diploma Examination Results Course By Course Summary With Measure Evaluation

| Course | Measure | Peace Regional Outreach Campus |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alberta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2012 |  | Prev 3 Yr Avg |  | 2012 |  | Prev 3 Yr Avg |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| English Lang Arts 30-1 | Acceptable Standard | Low | Improved | Acceptable | 7 | 85.7 | 8 | 61.1 | 29,328 | 86.0 | 28,848 | 85.2 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | Very Low | Maintained | Concern | 7 | 0.0 | 8 | 5.6 | 29,328 | 11.3 | 28,848 | 10.8 |
| English Lang Arts 30-2 | Acceptable Standard | * | * | * | 1 | * | 11 | 100.0 | 14,554 | 89.5 | 14,112 | 88.5 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | * | * | * | 1 | * | 11 | 9.1 | 14,554 | 10.7 | 14,112 | 9.1 |
| French Lang Arts 30-1 | Acceptable Standard | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1,208 | 95.5 | 1,279 | 94.7 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1,208 | 13.4 | 1,279 | 16.5 |
| Français 30-1 | Acceptable Standard | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 142 | 96.5 | 144 | 94.2 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 142 | 19.0 | 144 | 22.9 |
| Pure Mathematics 30 | Acceptable Standard | * | * | * | 2 | * | n/a | n/a | 21,691 | 81.8 | 22,716 | 82.0 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | * | * | * | 2 | * | n/a | n/a | 21,691 | 27.1 | 22,716 | 28.2 |
| Applied Mathematics 30 | Acceptable Standard | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 9,991 | 75.6 | 10,625 | 77.0 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 9,991 | 10.3 | 10,625 | 12.0 |
| Social Studies 30-1 | Acceptable Standard | * | * | * | 5 | * | 8 | 62.5 | 23,487 | 86.2 | 23,544 | 83.7 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | * | * | * | 5 | * | 8 | 0.0 | 23,487 | 16.7 | 23,544 | 15.5 |
| Social Studies 30-2 | Acceptable Standard | * | * | * | 4 | * | n/a | n/a | 17,193 | 83.1 | 15,720 | 85.3 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | * | * | * | 4 | * | n/a | n/a | 17,193 | 13.7 | 15,720 | 14.8 |
| Biology 30 | Acceptable Standard | * | * | * | 2 | * | n/a | n/a | 23,299 | 81.8 | 22,083 | 82.1 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | * | * | * | 2 | * | n/a | n/a | 23,299 | 28.1 | 22,083 | 28.2 |
| Chemistry 30 | Acceptable Standard | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 19,926 | 76.7 | 18,365 | 76.8 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 19,926 | 28.4 | 18,365 | 28.4 |
| Physics 30 | Acceptable Standard | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 10,562 | 81.0 | 10,364 | 76.6 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 10,562 | 30.3 | 10,364 | 23.7 |
| Science 30 | Acceptable Standard | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 5,873 | 79.8 | 4,808 | 82.2 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 5,873 | 22.0 | 4,808 | 21.6 |

Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
Achievement Evaluation is not calculated for courses that do not have sufficient data available, either due to too few jurisdictions offering the course or because of changes in examinations.

## Measure Evaluation Reference - Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5th, 25 th, 75 th, and 95 th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.
The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

| Course | Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Lang Arts 30-1 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-83.76 | 83.76-92.02 | 92.02-95.13 | 95.13-100.00 | 100.00-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-6.22 | 6.22-13.46 | 13.46-20.88 | 20.88-27.39 | 27.39-100.00 |
| English Lang Arts 30-2 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-70.83 | 70.83-82.43 | 82.43-90.72 | 90.72-96.00 | 96.00-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-0.00 | 0.00-3.13 | 3.13-8.65 | 8.65-11.61 | 11.61-100.00 |
| French Lang Arts 30-1 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-77.27 | 77.27-93.33 | 93.33-100.00 | 100.00-100.00 | 100.00-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-0.00 | 0.00-5.49 | 5.49-19.84 | 19.84-28.00 | 28.00-100.00 |
| Pure Mathematics 30 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-54.07 | 54.07-76.74 | 76.74-86.06 | 86.06-92.18 | 92.18-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-6.15 | 6.15-18.46 | 18.46-29.38 | 29.38-34.62 | 34.62-100.00 |
| Applied Mathematics 30 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-73.06 | $73.06-80.94$ | 80.94-90.03 | 90.03-91.69 | 91.69-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-4.57 | 4.57-10.29 | 10.29-16.08 | 16.08-23.77 | 23.77-100.00 |
| Biology 30 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-67.51 | 67.51-78.03 | 78.03-85.82 | 85.82-89.41 | 89.41-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-12.33 | 12.33-19.00 | 19.00-25.60 | 25.60-30.05 | 30.05-100.00 |
| Chemistry 30 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-37.40 | 37.40-64.26 | 64.26-77.96 | 77.96-85.58 | 85.58-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-6.52 | 6.52-16.78 | 16.78-27.40 | 27.40-34.23 | 34.23-100.00 |
| Physics 30 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-46.89 | 46.89-65.43 | 65.43-79.07 | 79.07-84.34 | 84.34-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-4.05 | 4.05-11.60 | 11.60-21.19 | 21.19-30.24 | 30.24-100.00 |
| Science 30 | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-76.11 | 76.11-83.33 | 83.33-91.76 | 91.76-97.14 | 97.14-100.00 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 0.00-6.98 | 6.98-11.36 | 11.36-21.80 | 21.80-36.81 | 36.81-100.00 |

Notes:
The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than or equal to the lower value to $100 \%$.
Achievement Evaluation is not calculated for courses that do not have sufficient data available, either due to too few jurisdictions offering the course or because of changes in examinations.

## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.
The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Declined Significantly | $3.84+$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | $1.00-3.83$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | $1.00-3.83$ (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | $3.84+$ (current > previous 3-year average) |

## Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## Budget Summary

## SCHOOL: Peace Regional Outreach

Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center

| AB ED: Base Funding | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total AB ED: Base Funding | \$203,242 | \$229,556 |
| \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | 56\% | 64\% |
| AB ED: Differential Cost Funding | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| Total AB ED: Differential Cost Funding | \$39,583 | \$38,639 |
| \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | 11\% | 11\% |
| AB ED: Other Support Funding | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| Total AB ED: Other Support Funding \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,312 \\ 6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,218 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ |
| Instruction Resource Fees | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| School Based Course Material Fees | \$8,000 | \$4,000 |
| Textbook Rentals | \$5,000 | \$7,000 |
| Total Instruction Resource Fees | \$13,000 | \$11,000 |
| \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | 4\% | 3\% |
| Investment Income | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| Total Investment Income \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 743 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 970 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Transfers | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| Total Transfers | \$83,460 | \$77,825 |
| \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | 23\% | 22\% |
| Total Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | \$363,340 | \$359,208 |


| Certificated | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Certificated | \$215,757 | \$159,219 |
| \% of Expenditures | 59\% | 44\% |
| Uncertificated | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| Total Uncertificated | \$112,828 | \$93,463 |
| \% of Expenditures | 31\% | 26\% |
| Other Staffing Costs | 2012-13 November 30 Fall Budget | 2011-12 November 30 Corrected |
| School Based Certificated Sub Cost Certified: Substitute Teacher: Daily Rate Days of School Certified Subs | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \$ 1,096 \\ \$ 219.17 & \\ \text { 5.00Days } & \end{array}$ | $\$ 217.00$ $\$ 2,170$ <br> 10.00Days  |

[^1]| Other Staffing Costs |
| :--- |
| School Based Certificated Sub Benefits |
| School Based Certificated Sub Cost |
| Sub Teacher Benefit Rates |

[^2]
## Summary of Facility and Capital Plans

Peace Regional Outreach Campus will be relocating to another building for the start of the 2013-14 school year. Our Peace Regional Outreach Student and Daycare Support Society received the Andrew Family Award which was used to buy the new building and land. Peace River School Division Facilities are renovating the new building to facilitate needs of daycare, counselling and classroom spaces.

## Parental Involvement

Since approximately $41 \%$ of our population traditionally does not live at home, parental involvement is limited. Parents who indicate an interest are encouraged to participate in our school in whatever capacity interests them.

## Deadlines and Communication

This report is available to parents and the public on the Peace Regional Outreach Campus's website at http://www.peaceregionaloutreach.ca/ Copies are available upon request. Individual school results reports are communicated to the Outreach Steering Committee and the public.


[^0]:    Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

[^1]:    *     - See the notes section for details about Line Item notes on this page

[^2]:    *     - See the notes section for details about Line Item notes on this page

